

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,306	08/22/2003	Gang Yu	UC0206USNA	9200	
23906 E L DU PON'	7590 11/05/2007 T DE NEMOURS AND CO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			LEWIS, DA	LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2629	2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,306	YU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David L. Lewis	2629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing appearance of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE STATE	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 S	September 2007.				
/—	•	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•				
4)	Claim(s) 8-20 is/are pending in the application	1. ·				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er:				
.,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	,	plication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		•			
	application from the International Burea					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
		•				
•	4					
Attachmen	et(s)	<u></u>	$\bigcap X$			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413)			
-	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ormal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Salam (6329758).

As in claim 8, Salam teaches of an electronic device, figure 2 and 5,

comprising a first radiation-emitting element lying within a pixel, figure 5 item L;

and a first radiation-sensing element for sensing radiation emitted from the first radiation-emitting element, figure 5 item 64.

wherein the first radiation-sensing element to lies outside the pixel, column 11 lines 50-67,

and the radiation-sensing element is part of a calibrating system, column 1 lines 12-15, column 2 lines 20-30,

and the radiation sensing element is not part of a radiation emitting circuit, column 11 lines 50-67.

Art Unit: 2629

and the radiation sensing element is located outside the projected area containing the radiation emitting element, **column 11 lines 60-67**, wherein the sensor 64 can be replaced with a fiber optic guide that transmits light from the tile to a sensor that is common to all of the tiles or alternatively each tile may be provided with two fiber optic guides each used to sense lamps on the tile that are not close to it.

As in claim 16, Salam teaches of an electronic device, figure 2 and 4,

comprising a first radiation-emitting element, figure 5 item L;

a waveguide, **column 11 lines 50-67**, wherein sensor 64 may be replaced with a fiber **optic guide** that transmits light from the tile to a **sensor** that is common to all of the tiles.

and a first radiation-sensing element, figure 5 item 64

wherein the waveguide optically couples the first radiation-emitting element to the first radiation-sensing element, **column 11 lines 50-67**, wherein sensor 64 may be replaced with a fiber **optic guide** that transmits light from the tile to a **sensor** that is common to all of the tiles.

and the radiation sensing element is not part of a radiation emitting circuit, column 11 lines 50-67.

and the radiation-sensing element is part of a calibrating system, column 1 lines 12-15, column 2 lines 20-30, column 11 lines 50-67,

and the radiation sensing element is located outside the projected area containing the radiation emitting element, column 11 lines 60-67, wherein the

Art Unit: 2629

sensor 64 can be replaced with a fiber optic guide that transmits light from the tile to a sensor that is common to all of the tiles or alternatively each tile may be provided with two fiber optic guides each used to sense lamps on the tile that are not close to it.

As in claim 9, Salam teaches of wherein the first radiation-sensing element lies at a location selected from between the first radiation-emitting element and the user side of the electronic device, column 11 lines 60-67, wherein the tailoring of lamp position relative to the sensor is performed.

As in claim 10, Salam teaches of wherein the waveguide to optically couple the first radiation-emitting element to the first radiation-sensing element, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 11, Salam teaches of wherein the waveguide to lie at a location between the first radiation-emitting element and the user side of the electronic device and farther from the user side of the electronic device compared to the first radiation emitting element, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 12 and 18, Salam teaches of wherein the electronic device includes a plurality of radiation-emitting elements, including the first radiation-emitting element, within an array, the array having an array edge, the waveguide having a waveguide edge adjacent to the array edge, and the first radiation-sensing element is connected to the waveguide edge through optical, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 13 and 19, Salam teaches of the electronic device includes a plurality of radiation-emitting elements, including the first radiation-emitting element, within an array, the array having array edges, the waveguide having waveguide edges adjacent to the array edges, and a plurality of radiation-sensing

Art Unit: 2629

elements, including the first radiation-sensing element is connected to the waveguide edges, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 14, Salam teaches of the first radiation-emitting element is not electrically connected to the first radiation-sensing element, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 15, Salam teaches of the first radiation-emitting element is not electrically coupled to the first radiation-sensing element, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 17, Salam teaches of the waveguide to lie at a location between the first radiation-sensing element and the user side of the electronic device, column 11 lines 60-67.

As in claim 20, Salam teaches of wherein the first radiation emitting element comprises a transparent anode and a transparent cathode, figure 2 item L.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/9/2007 with respect to claims 8-20 are not persausive. Salam teaches of the radiation sensing element is located outside the projected area containing the radiation emitting element, **column 11 lines 60-67**, wherein the sensor 64 can be replaced with a fiber optic guide that transmits light from the tile to a sensor that is common to all of the tiles or alternatively each tile may be provided with two fiber optic guides each used to sense lamps on the tile that are not close to it. Rejection maintained.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/646,306

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David L. Lewis** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7673. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (571) 272-7681. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-273-8300.
- 5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 2629

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

Examiner: David L

March 28, 2007